

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,047 | 07/11/2003 | John D. Norton | P11443.00 | 4113 |
| 27581 | 7590 02/25/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| MEDTRON | IC, INC. | | HA, NGI | JYEN T |
| 710 MEDTRO MS-LC340 | ONIC PARKWAY NE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | LIS, MN 55432-5604 | | 2831 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | | Application No. | | | | |
| | | 10/618,047 | NORTON, JOHN D. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Nguyen T Ha | 2831 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro to the cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 | January 2005. | | | | |
| 2a)□ | · | nis action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | ·— | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | , | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 16-20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 4 در ا | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a)l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the Copies | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica fority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ition No ved in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Infori | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>0803</u> . | Paper No(s)/Mail I Notice of Informal Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/618,047 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 17-20 should be change to claims - -16-20 - -.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of group I claims 1-12 and 16-20 in the reply filed on 01/17/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the searches for group I and II would be co-extensive. This is not found persuasive because the method claims 13-15 would be classified in a different class than claims 1-12 and 16-20

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2831

Claim 4 contains the trademark/trade name NUCLEPORE®, CYCLOPORE TM, ISOPORETM, PORETICS® and SPI-Pore. Where a trade mark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identity or describe the good associated with the trademark or trade name. The examiner assume that the applicant referring to some kinds of the polymers material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 5-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Keshishian (US 6,740,447).

Regarding claim 1, Keshishian discloses a capacitor cell (figures 1a-1f and 2) comprising:

an anode material (4);

 a cathode material (8) spaced from an operatively associated with the anode material;

- an electrolyte (28) operatively associated with the anode material and the cathode material; and
- one or more track-etched separator (7) materials disposed between the anode material and the cathode material.

Regarding claim 5, Keshishian discloses the cathode material, and the tracketched separator material are configured as one or more strips adhered together as a laminate (figure 1c).

Regarding claim 6, Keshishian discloses the laminate is arranged in a coiled configuration (column 4, lines 62-65).

Regarding claim 7, Keshishian discloses the laminate is arranged in a flat-coiled configuration (figure 1b).

Regarding claim 9, Keshishian discloses the laminate is arranged in a stacked configuration (figure 1b).

Regarding claim 10, Keshishian discloses the anode material, the cathode material, and the track-etched separator material are each configured as one or more layers (figure 1c).

Regarding claim 11, Keshishian discloses one or more layers are configured as a stack of layers (figure 1c).

Regarding claim 12, Keshishian discloses the stack of layers comprises separator layers positioned in between alternating anode and cathode layers (figure 1c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keshishian (US 6,740,447) in view of Matsumoto et al. (US 5,850,331).

Regarding claims 2-3, Keshishian discloses all the claimed limitation discussed above with respect to claim 1, except for the track-etched separator materials comprise track-etched polycarbonate materials.

Matsumoto et al. teach a separator (2) is made of polycarbonate (column 6, lines 34-38).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Matsumoto et al. separator in Keshishian, since such a modification would provide a capacitor to have an excellent chemical and thermal resistance at a high temperature.

Regarding claim 4, as best understood, the teaching of Keshishian in view of Matsumoto et al. includes wherein the track-etched materials comprising polymer (column 6, lines 34-41 as taught by Matsumoto et al.)

Regarding claim 8, the teaching of Keshishian in view of Matsumoto includes all the claimed limitations. Matsumoto et al. further disclose the laminate is arranged in a cylindrical coiled configuration (figure 5).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 16-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 16, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitation of a capacitor cell comprising: a pressed, sintered and formed, powdered metal anode member having a pair of substantially flat, major opposing sides, and a portion of track-etched material disposed on at least one of the pair of substantially flat, major opposing sides of the anode member, said portion of track-etched material having a surface area approximately the same as a surface area of the anode member.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen T. Ha February 17, 2005

DEAN A. REICHARD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800